

# Recency Types for Dynamically-Typed, Object-Based Languages

Phillip Heidegger, Peter Thiemann

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg

15.10.2008

## Task: Maintenance

- ▶ Finding bugs in JavaScript programs
- ▶ Understanding JavaScript programs

# JavaScript

## Language Properties

Some important features:

- 1 Weak, dynamic typing
- 2 Object-based language (no classes, but prototypes)
- 3 Functions are first class values
- 4 ...

# Introduction into JavaScript

## Example – Objects

```
1 var ob1 = { a : 5; b : 42 };
2 var ob2 = new Object();
3 var x = ob1.a;
4 var z = ob2.a;

5 var getA = function () {
6     return this.a;
7 };
8 ob1.getA = getA;

9 var x2 = ob1.getA();
```

- 1 Object literal:  $\{lab_1 : e_1; \dots lab_n : e_n\}$
- 2 Constructor call using **new**

# JavaScript

## Example – Prototypes

```
1 var proto = { test : 5 };
2 function h(x) {
3     this.x = x;
4     return this;
5 };
6 h.prototype = proto;
7 var o = new h("Hello!");
8 alert(o.test + o.x);
```

# Function Call after Assignment

## Example

```
1 var x = new Object(); // x is an empty object
2 var u = x.f;          // u == undefined
3 x.g = function ... ;
4 x.f();
```

► Wish:

get a hint that tells us `f` might be undefined in line 4.

# Function Call after Assignment

## Example

Corrected version:

```
1  var x = new Object();    // x is an empty object
2  var u = x.f;             // u == undefined
3  x.f = function ... ;
4  x.f();
```

- ▶ If the type system is **not** flow-sensitive:

$x.f : \text{undefined} \vee (\tau \rightarrow \tau')$

# Types

## A short introduction to Union Types

The Types:

$$\tau ::= \text{string} \mid \text{float} \mid \text{undefined} \mid \tau \vee \tau$$

A possible definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{string} &:= \{s \mid s \text{ is a String}\} \\ \text{float} &:= \{f \mid f \text{ is a Float}\} \\ \text{undefined} &:= \{\text{undefined}\} \\ \tau_1 \vee \tau_2 &:= \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \end{aligned}$$

Typing of a value:

$$\vdash v : \tau \quad \text{iff } v \in \tau$$



# Types

## A short introduction to Union Types

Type rules:

$$\frac{\vdash v : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash v : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \quad x : \tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x = e : \text{undefined}}$$

Example:

```

1  x = undefined;
2  x = 5.0;

```

- ▶ We may use union types.
- ▶ This yields in a typing for the two lines above:

$$[x : \text{undefined} \vee \text{float}] \vdash x = v : \text{undefined}$$

# Function Call after Assignment

## Example

Corrected version:

```
1  var x = new Object();    // x is an empty object
2  var u = x.f;             // u == undefined
3  x.f = function ... ;
4  x.f();
```

- ▶ If the type system is **not** flow-sensitive:  
 $x.f : \text{undefined} \vee (\tau \rightarrow \tau')$
- ▶ Type system predicts a run-time error for the function call in line 4
- ▶ Solution: have  $x.f$  change type on assignment
- ▶ Problem: Unrestricted type change is unsound ...

# Flow Analysis

## Standard Approach

- ▶ Typical abstraction in a flow analysis:

Represent object pointer by a set of creation locations

```

1  var x = newℓ Object(); // abstract location ℓ
2  var u = x.f;           // u == undefined
3  x.f = function ... ;
4  x.f ();

```

- ▶ Map each location to an abstract description of an object
- ▶ Updates to object have to be suitable to the abstract description

# Flow Analysis

## Recency-Based Approach

- ▶ Key idea: Distinguish the most recently allocated object from the older ones (at each location)
- ▶ Map each location to **two** abstract descriptions, one for the most recent object and one for the older ones

# Flow Analysis

## Recency-Based Approach

- ▶ Observation: The abstract description for the most recent object describes exactly one object!
- ▶ Observation: The abstract description for the older objects describes many objects!
- ▶ Interpret an update to the most recent object **by an update of the abstract description**
- ▶ Interpret an update to an older object by joining abstract descriptions

# Semantics

Two heaps:

- ▶  $H$  for old objects,
- ▶  $H_0$  for most recent object
- ▶ Type of heaps: `reference`  $\rightarrow$  `object`
- ▶ `references ::= (ql, i)`.
- ▶ Type of objects: `string`  $\rightarrow$  `value`.

Small step operational semantics:

$$H, H_0, e \longrightarrow H', H'_0, e'$$

Selected rules (Read property):

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 H, H_0, (@\ell, i).a \longrightarrow H, H_0, H_0(\ell, i)(a) & \text{if } (\ell, i) \in \text{dom}(H_0) \\
 H, H_0, (^\circ\ell, i).a \longrightarrow H, H_0, H(\ell, i)(a) & \text{if } (\ell, i) \in \text{dom}(H)
 \end{array}$$

# Example for the Semantics

$$\begin{array}{l} [], [], \text{let } x = \text{new}^l \text{ in} \\ \quad \text{let } z = (x.a = 5) \text{ in} \\ \quad \quad x.a \end{array}$$

→

$$\begin{array}{l} [], [(@l, 0) \mapsto \{\}], \text{let } x = (@l, 0) \text{ in} \\ \quad \text{let } z = (x.a = 5) \text{ in} \\ \quad \quad x.a \end{array}$$

→

$$\begin{array}{l} [], [(@l, 0) \mapsto \{\}], \text{let } z = ((@l, 0).a = 5) \text{ in} \\ \quad \quad (@l, 0).a \end{array}$$

# Example for the Semantics

$$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, [(\text{@}l, 0) \mapsto \{\}], \text{let } z = ((\text{@}l, 0).a = 5) \text{ in}$$

$$(\text{@}l, 0).a$$
 $\longrightarrow$ 

$$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, [(\text{@}l, 0) \mapsto \{a \mapsto 5\}], \text{let } z = \text{undefined in}$$

$$(\text{@}l, 0).a$$
 $\longrightarrow$ 

$$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, [(\text{@}l, 0) \mapsto \{a \mapsto 5\}], (\text{@}l, 0).a$$
 $\longrightarrow$ 

$$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, [(\text{@}l, 0) \mapsto \{a \mapsto 5\}], 5$$



# Function Calls

Let's assume:

$$\begin{aligned}
 fb &::= \text{let } x = \text{new}^{\ell} \text{ in} \\
 &\quad \text{let } y = x \text{ in} \\
 &\quad \text{let } z = (y.a = 5) \text{ in} \\
 &\quad \quad x.a \\
 f &::= \lambda(-).fb
 \end{aligned}$$

How we evaluate

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{let } _ = f() \text{ in} & & \\
 f() & & ?
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ We will end up with two objects in the most recent heap!
- ▶ When should we move objects into the summary heap?

# Moving objects

- ▶ Collect a set of abstract locations of objects that are possibly created inside a lambda body
- ▶ Move objects with the suitable abstract location from the most recent heap into the summary heap before running the function body

# Typing Judgment

$$\Omega, \Sigma, \Gamma \vdash_e e : t \Rightarrow L, \Sigma', \Gamma'$$

- $\Omega$  summary environment
- $\Sigma$  most-recent environment
- $\Gamma$  type environment
- $L$  effect (set of locations)
- $t$  types

$$\begin{aligned}
 t &::= \text{obj}(p) \mid (\Sigma, t \times t) \xrightarrow{L} (\Sigma, t) \mid \top \mid \text{undefined} \\
 p &::= {}^\circ L \mid @\ell
 \end{aligned}$$

# Object Types

```

let x = newℓ in
let y = x in
let z = (y.a = 5) in
  x.a
  
```

$$\Omega, \Sigma, \Gamma \vdash_e x.a : \text{int} \Rightarrow \emptyset, \Sigma, \Gamma$$

$$\Sigma = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \text{int}]]$$

$$\Gamma = [x : \text{obj}(@\ell), y : \text{obj}(@\ell), z : \text{undefined}]$$

# Object Types with Strong Update

```

let x = newℓ in
let y = x in
let z = (y.a = 5) in
let w = (x.a = "crunch") in
  y.a
  
```

$$\Omega, \Sigma, \Gamma \vdash_e y.a : \text{string} \Rightarrow \emptyset, \Sigma, \Gamma$$

$$\Sigma = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \text{string}]]$$

$$\Gamma = [x : \text{obj}(@\ell), y : \text{obj}(@\ell),$$

$$z : \text{undefined}, w : \text{undefined}]$$

# Object Types with Weak Update

```

let x = newl in let _ = x.a = 42 in
∇llet y = newl in let _ = y.a = "flush" in
∇llet z = newl in let _ = z.a = true in
  x.a
  
```

$$\Gamma, \Omega, \Sigma \vdash_e \text{new}^l : \text{obj}(@l) \Rightarrow \{\ell\}, \Gamma, [\ell \mapsto []]$$

$$\Omega = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \top]]$$

$$\Sigma = []$$

$$\Gamma = []$$

# Object Types with Weak Update

```

let x = newℓ in let _ = x.a = 42 in
∇ℓlet y = newℓ in let _ = y.a = "flush" in
∇ℓlet z = newℓ in let _ = z.a = true in
  x.a
  
```

$$\Gamma, \Omega, \Sigma \vdash_e \dots : \tau \Rightarrow L, \Gamma', \Sigma'$$

$$\Omega = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \top]]$$

$$\Sigma = [\ell \mapsto []]$$

$$\Gamma = [x : \text{obj}(\text{@}\ell)]$$

$$\tau =? \quad L =?$$

$$\Gamma' =? \quad \Sigma' =?$$

# Object Types with Weak Update

```

    let x = newℓ in let _ = x.a = 42 in
    ∇ℓ let y = newℓ in let _ = y.a = "flush" in
    ∇ℓ let z = newℓ in let _ = z.a = true in
        x.a
  
```

$$\Gamma, \Omega, \Sigma \vdash_e x.a = 42 : \text{undefined} \Rightarrow \emptyset, \Gamma, [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \text{Float}]]$$

$$\Omega = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \top]]$$

$$\Sigma = [\ell \mapsto []]$$

$$\Gamma = [x : \text{obj}(\mathcal{C}\ell)]$$



# Object Types with Weak Update

```

let x = newℓ in let _ = x.a = 42 in
∇ℓlet y = newℓ in let _ = y.a = "flush" in
∇ℓlet z = newℓ in let _ = z.a = true in
  x.a
  
```

$$\Gamma, \Omega, \Sigma \vdash_e \nabla^\ell e : \tau \Rightarrow L, \Gamma', []$$

$$\Omega = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \top]]$$

$$\Sigma = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \text{Float}]]$$

$$\Gamma = [x : \text{obj}(\textcircled{\ell})]$$

$$\Gamma' = [x : \text{obj}(\circ\ell)]$$

# Object Types with Weak Update

```

let x = newℓ in let _ = x.a = 42 in
∇ℓ let y = newℓ in let _ = y.a = "flush" in
∇ℓ let z = newℓ in let _ = z.a = true in
  x.a
  
```

$$\Gamma, \Omega, \Sigma \vdash_e \dots : \tau \Rightarrow L, \Gamma', []$$

$$\Omega = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \top]]$$

$$\Sigma = []$$

$$\Gamma = [x : \text{obj}(\circ\ell)]$$

# Object Types with Weak Update

```

let x = newℓ in let _ = x.a = 42 in
∇ℓlet y = newℓ in let _ = y.a = "flush" in
∇ℓlet z = newℓ in let _ = z.a = true in

```

*x.a*

$$\Omega, \Sigma, \Gamma \vdash_e x.a : \top \Rightarrow \emptyset, \Sigma, \Gamma$$

$$\Omega = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \top]]$$

$$\Sigma = [\ell \mapsto [a \mapsto \text{Bool}]]$$

$$\Gamma = [x : \text{obj}(\circ\ell), y : \text{obj}(\circ\ell), z : \text{obj}(@\ell)]$$

# Mask Expressions

A mask expression  $\nabla^L e$

- not written by the programmer
- inserted in an elaboration phase
- syntactic marker for moving objects from the most-recent heap to the summary heap

# Where to Mask

Elaboration applies a mask to each `let` that

- directly encloses a function call, or
- directly encloses a method call

The mask label is inferred.

# Conclusion

- Recency can be modeled with a type system
- Recency types partition the lifetime of an object
  - initialization phase (most recent object, strong updates)
  - summary phase (old object, weak updates)

Intuition: most objects are initialized once and then changed rarely

- Recency types deal well with prototypes
  - prototypes are often singleton objects
  - precise and imprecise pointers can be arbitrarily nested
- Implementation: up next

# Attention

Thank you for your attention!